

# The Dangerous Flu Vaccine Racket

Excerpt from [\*Vaccine Danger Quackery and Sin\*](#)

Edward Hendrie

People are injected with more influenza vaccines than all other shots combined.<sup>1</sup> Lies drive the marketing to get the flu vaccine. The CDC falsely represents the number of people who die each year from the flu to scare the elderly into getting the flu shot. The CDC adds deaths from pneumonia to flu deaths to come up with a combined figure for flu and pneumonia deaths. For example, on April 6, 2023, the CDC reported on its “Influenza” page the mortality for 2021 as “Number of deaths: 49,917.”<sup>2</sup> Even though it was on the “Influenza” page, the figure was reported under the heading “Influenza and pneumonia deaths.” What the CDC does not reveal is that 41,309 of the deaths were from pneumonia.<sup>3</sup> Thus, 83% of the deaths being reported as “influenza and pneumonia deaths” are from pneumonia.

It is misleading to report the combined deaths from flu and pneumonia. That is because, as explained by Dr. David Rosenthal, director of Harvard University Health Service, the relationship between pneumonia and the flu is not unique.<sup>4</sup> Many other diseases can cause pneumonia.<sup>5</sup> People can die from pneumonia without ever having the flu. But because people typically do not die from influenza, the CDC has decided to hook the alleged flu deaths to pneumonia to scare the public into getting flu shots. Jon Rappaport reported:

In December of 2005, the British Medical Journal (online) published a shocking report by Peter Doshi, which spelled out the delusion and created tremors throughout the halls of the CDC. Here is a quote from Doshi’s report:

“[According to CDC statistics], ‘influenza and pneumonia’ took 62,034 lives in 2001—61,777 of which were attributable to pneumonia and 257 to flu, and in only 18 cases was the flu virus positively identified.”<sup>6</sup>

The CDC used that sleight of hand until 2008, to announce that “every year ... about 36,000 people die from flu.”<sup>7</sup> But that is twisting the truth because very few of those deaths are from the flu. The CDC had been doing that for years. On or about 2004, pediatrician Kenneth Stoller on behalf of the International Hyperbaric Medical Association, admonished the CDC about this deception and asked them to stop doing it.<sup>8</sup> On or before 2010, the CDC decided to change from saying that “every year ... about 36,000 people die from flu” to saying that “[e]ach year ... 36,000 people die from flu-related causes.”<sup>9</sup> But, again, that is a lie. The 36,000 figure is coming from combining flu and pneumonia deaths. There is nothing unique about the relationship between the flu and pneumonia. It is misleading to call pneumonia a “flu-related cause” of death. People can die from pneumonia without ever having the flu. This is all done to fan the flames of fear to convince the uninformed masses to get a flu shot.

Glen Nowak, Ph.D., the Associate Director for Communications National Immunization

Program in the CDC, gave a presentation in 2004 before the American Medical Association where he explained how the CDC uses the media to drum up demand for influenza vaccines.<sup>10</sup> His slide presentation is posted on the AMA website. In the presentation, he listed the media message that “Flu kills 36,000 per year” as the #2 predominant message pumped out from the CDC to the media during the week of September 21-28, 2003. That message, we now know, was a lie.

Dr. Novak’s presentation states that his goal was “to broaden understanding and thinking about influenza vaccination communication– especially when it comes to greatly increasing coverage.” He explained how the CDC will insert particular messages in the media to increase the uptake of the influenza vaccines for 2004-2005. One of his slides presents: “‘Recipe’ that Fosters Influenza Vaccine Interest and Demand.” The recipe is a seven-step plan for “Generating Interest in, and Demand for, Flu (or any other) Vaccination.” Dr. Novak’s slide show was in 2004. The CDC has since evolved to now reporting the statistic for flu deaths under the heading: “influenza and pneumonia deaths.” The CDC does not break out a separate report that only lists flu deaths because that puny number would not scare people into getting a flu vaccine.

Some of the pertinent slides that indicate the kind of messages that the CDC planned on feeding to the media are cut and pasted below:

Medical experts and public health authorities publicly (e.g., via media) state concern and alarm (and predict dire outcomes)– and urge influenza vaccination.

Framing of the flu season in terms that motivate behavior (e.g., as “very severe,” “more severe than last or past years,” “deadly”)

Continued reports (e.g., from health officials and media) that influenza is causing severe illness and/or affecting lots of people–helping foster the perception that many people are susceptible to a bad case of influenza.

Visible/tangible examples of the seriousness of the illness (e.g., pictures of children, families of those affected coming forward) and people getting vaccinated (the first to motivate, the latter to reinforce)

References to, and discussions, of pandemic influenza–along with continued reference to the importance of vaccination.<sup>11</sup>

Below are the text in slides where Dr. Novak addresses some of the expected issues during the vaccine campaign.

Vaccination demand, particularly among people who don't routinely receive an annual influenza vaccination, is related to heightened concern, anxiety, and worry.

Effectively addressing parent concerns about a) the number and timing of

vaccinations and b) thimerosal<sup>12</sup>

Some component of success (i.e., higher demand for influenza vaccine) stems from media stories and information that create motivating (i.e., high) levels of concern and anxiety about influenza.

The CDC is marketing to scare the public into getting an unsafe and ineffective flu shot. The CDC knows that the shot is almost worthless in protecting people from the flu. Yet, the CDC states:

Everyone 6 months and older in the United States should get an influenza (flu) vaccine every season with rare exception. CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices has made this "universal" recommendation since the 2010-2011 flu season.<sup>13</sup>

In his book, *Jabbed*, Brett Wilcox explains the worthlessness of the influenza vaccines.

GSK tested Fluarix in 2 European countries during the 2006-2007 influenza season and found that 3.2 percent of the unvaxxed subjects came down with the flu or an "influenza-like illness" (ILI) while 1.2 percent of the subjects in the Fluarix group contracted the flu or an influenza-like illness. This equates to a 62.5% efficacy rate.

The math used to obtain 62.5% is as follows: Divide 3.2 into 1.2 to get .375 or a 37.5% reduced incidence of flu in the treatment group. Subtract 37.5 from 100 to get an efficacy rate of 62.5%. This figure is not technically a lie, but it is absolutely deceptive. It gives the impression that Fluarix prevents the flu in 62.5% of people who get the jab. GSK knows full well that according to its own efficacy test that for every 100 people who are vaccinated with Fluarix, only 2 people derive any benefit (3.2 minus 1.2 equals 2). 2 out of 100 equals an absolute risk reduction or vaccine efficacy rate of 2% with a corresponding vaccine worthless rate of 98%.

According to the 2015 meta-analysis conducted by the Cochrane Collaboration, the 98% worthless rate is fairly consistent among flu vaccine recipients. The Collaboration reviewed 90 reports and found that on average flu jabs prevent 2.5% of people from coming down with an influenza-like illness and only 1.4% of people from contracting the flu. In other words, the flu jab is from 97.5% to 98.6% worthless.<sup>14</sup>

Wilcox points out that the above figures do not account for those who get sick because of the flu vaccine. While the CDC adamantly states that "Flu vaccines CANNOT cause the flu"<sup>15</sup> (emphasis in original) that is just another government lie. The inserts for Novartis' flu vaccine, FLUARIX, states that 16% of participants in its trial suffered flu-like symptoms within seven days of getting vaccinated.<sup>16</sup> So, how can the CDC and Novartis claim that the flu vaccine does not give one the flu? Simple, they do not count what happens within the first seven days of getting the shot. The trial did

not begin monitoring study subjects for influenza-like illnesses until two weeks after the vaccination. The Novartis excluded the first seven days of flu-like symptoms from the efficacy data. Thus, the study showed that for every two people helped by the vaccine, 16 were made sick from it. The vaccine is 8 times more likely to harm than help. But Novartis did not count the 16% who came down with flu-like symptoms within the first week of the shot when reporting the vaccine's efficacy.

Adding insult to injury, almost half of the flu vaccines contain dangerous mercury. Congressman Bill Posey made the following statement on the floor of the House of Representatives in 2013.

Some believe that toxins like thimerosal, which is 50% ethylmercury, have played a role in the rise in autism and neurodevelopmental disabilities. In 2000 there was near universal agreement that mercury should be removed as a preservative for vaccines. Yet, today, nearly half of all annual flu vaccines, which are recommended for children and pregnant women, still contain mercury as a preservative—not simply trace amounts of mercury. It's 2013! Why are we still injecting ethylmercury into babies and pregnant women?<sup>17</sup>

Congressman Dan Burton submitted a report in the House of Representatives after a three-year investigation initiated by the Committee on Government Reform. Below is an excerpt from that report:

The research is explicit that fetal brains are more sensitive than the adult brains to the adverse effects of methylmercury, which include:

Severe brain damage

Delayed achievement of developmental milestones

Neurological abnormalities such as brisk tendon reflexes

Widespread damage to all areas of the fetal brain, as opposed to focal lesions seen in adult tissue

Microcephaly

Purkinje [neuron] cells failed to migrate to the cerebellum

Inhibition of both cell division and migration, affecting the most basic process in brain development<sup>18</sup>

Knowing the dangers of mercury to an unborn child and that half of the flu vaccines contain mercury, the CDC still recommends that “[p]regnant women should receive a seasonal flu shot.”<sup>19</sup>

Russell Blaylock, M.D., a (retired) board-certified neurosurgeon, highly respected authority, and expert on brain damage caused by vaccines, stated: "I cannot think of anything more insane than vaccinating pregnant women."<sup>20</sup>

Lest you think that the mercury-free flu vaccine is safe, think again. The Medical Director and Chief Operating Officer of the Cleveland Clinic Wellness Institute, Daniel Neides, M.D., warns that the mercury-free flu vaccine is also dangerous. Dr. Neides posted the following article on January 6, 2017, on the Cleveland Clinic website.

I am tired of all the nonsense we as American citizens are being fed while big business - and the government - continue to ignore the health and well-being of the fine people in this country. Why am I all fired up, you ask?

I, like everyone else, took the advice of the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) - the government - and received a flu shot. I chose to receive the preservative free vaccine, thinking I did not want any thimerosal (i.e. mercury) that the "regular" flu vaccine contains.

Makes sense, right? Why would any of us want to be injected with mercury if it can potentially cause harm? However, what I did not realize is that the preservative-free vaccine contains formaldehyde.

WHAT? How can you call it preservative-free, yet still put a preservative in it? And worse yet, formaldehyde is a known carcinogen. Yet, here we are, being lined up like cattle and injected with an unsafe product. Within 12 hours of receiving the vaccine, I was in bed feeling miserable and missed two days of work with a terrible cough and body aches.

My anger actually stems from a constant toxic burden that is contributing to the chronic disease epidemic. And yet the government continues to talk out of both sides of its mouth. We want our citizens to be healthy and take full advantage of the best healthcare system in the world (so we think), yet we don't treat our bodies with the love and attention they deserve.

\*\*\*

[Link to autism?](#)

\*\*\*

Slight detour. Why do I mention autism now twice in this article. Because we have to wake up out of our trance and stop following bad advice. Does the vaccine burden - as has been debated for years - cause autism? I don't know and will not debate that

here. What I will stand up and scream is that newborns without intact immune systems and detoxification systems are being over-burdened with PRESERVATIVES AND ADJUVANTS IN THE VACCINES.

The adjuvants, like aluminum - used to stimulate the immune system to create antibodies - can be incredibly harmful to the developing nervous system. Some of the vaccines have helped reduce the incidence of childhood communicable diseases, like meningitis and pneumonia. That is great news. But not at the expense of neurologic diseases like autism and ADHD increasing at alarming rates.

When I was in medical school in the late 1980s, the rate of autism was 1 in 1,000 children. For those born in the 1950's and 60's, do you recall a single student in your grade with an Individualized Education Program (IEP) for ADHD or someone with a diagnosis of autism? I do not.

As of 2010, the rate of autism in the U.S. escalated to 1 in 68 children. The deniers will simply state that we do a better job of diagnosing this "disorder". Really? Something (s) are over-burdening our ability to detoxify, and that is when the problems begin.

\*\*\*

For those who want to dive in further, help me understand why we vaccinate newborns for hepatitis B - a sexually transmitted disease. Any exposure to this virus is unlikely to happen before our second decade of life, but we expose our precious newborns to toxic aluminum (an adjuvant in the vaccine) at one day of life.

And when they actually need the protection, many who have received this three-shot series in the first year of life will lack antibody protection--as immunity may not last.<sup>21</sup>

Two days after Dr. Neides posted that article, the Cleveland Clinic posted this response.

Cleveland Clinic is fully committed to evidence-based medicine. Harmful myths and untruths about vaccinations have been scientifically debunked in rigorous ways. We completely support vaccinations to protect people, especially children who are particularly vulnerable. Our physician published his statement without authorization from Cleveland Clinic. His views do not reflect the position of Cleveland Clinic and appropriate disciplinary action will be taken.<sup>22</sup>

Did you notice the threat of disciplinary action? Well, the Cleveland Clinic acted and summarily fired Dr. Neides. There are many doctors who agree with Dr. Neides, but they keep their mouths shut because they know it will mean the end for their medical careers to criticize the safety

or efficacy of vaccines.

In 2016, Dr. Nick Delgado made the astounding statement that he had spoken to some 500 doctors in the previous three years. He asked them privately if they would be willing to share their views on vaccinations. They told him, “No, I can’t talk about it.” Their response to his “Why not?” was “Because my license is at risk.”<sup>23</sup>

Brett Wilcox recounts, "Andrew Wakefield, said that doctors tell him all the time that they'd like to speak up but are afraid of what it would do to their careers. Wakefield says he has little patience or tolerance for such people anymore. They took an oath to 'First Do No Harm,' and in remaining silent, they violate that oath and what it means to be a doctor."<sup>24</sup>

Del Bigtree, the producer of Vaxxed, described the situation of doctors being scared to speak out about the dangers of vaccines in an interview with ABC:

The real sad thing is the [number] of doctors I’ve spoken to that say, “Del, I know that vaccines are causing autism, but I won’t say it on camera because the pharmaceutical industry will destroy my career just like they did to Andy Wakefield.” And that’s where we find ourselves: being bullied by an industry that doesn’t really care about our children.<sup>25</sup>

So, it is not just mercury that is dangerous to the mother and her unborn child. The other excipients in the flu vaccines cause severe adverse effects. Alexander Langmuir, former head of the CDC, was fired when he refused to say that people should get the flu vaccine. Langmuir said:

I would not take the flu vaccine. My wife does not take the flu vaccine. No one should take the flu vaccine. And in fact when I was head of CDC, I wanted to make that as a public statement and I refused to say that you should take the flu vaccine. That’s why I’m now professor at Harvard.<sup>26</sup>

FLUARIX is a flu vaccine that does NOT contain thimerosal.<sup>27</sup> Yet, the FLUARIX package insert lists the following adverse events experienced by people injected with the vaccine:

Lymphadenopathy, tachycardia, vertigo, conjunctivitis, eye irritation, eye pain, eye redness, eye swelling, eyelid swelling, abdominal pain or discomfort, swelling of the mouth, throat, and/or tongue, asthenia, chest pain, feeling hot, injection site mass, injection site reaction, injection site warmth, body aches, anaphylactic reaction including shock, anaphylactoid reaction, hypersensitivity, serum sickness, injection site abscess, injection site cellulitis, pharyngitis, rhinitis, tonsillitis, convulsion, encephalomyelitis, facial palsy, facial paresis, Guillain-Barré syndrome, hypoesthesia, myelitis, neuritis, neuropathy, paresthesia, syncope, asthma, bronchospasm, dyspnea, respiratory distress, stridor, angioedema, erythema, erythema multiforme, facial swelling, pruritus, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, sweating,

urticarial, Henoch-Schönlein purpura, and vasculitis.<sup>28</sup>



## Endnotes

1. Brett Wilcox, *Jabbed, How the Vaccine Industry, Medical Establishment and Government Stick It to You and Your Family*, at 178 (2018)
2. Influenza, CDC, <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/flu.htm> (last visited on April 6, 2023).
3. Pneumonia, CDC, <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/pneumonia.htm> (last visited on April 6, 2023).
4. Glen Nowak, *Planning for the 2004-05 Influenza Vaccination Season: A Communication Situation Analysis*, archived September 5, 2004 page, [https://web.archive.org/web/20040905095426/https://www.ama-assn.org/ama1/pub/upload/mm/36/2004\\_flu\\_nowak.pdf](https://web.archive.org/web/20040905095426/https://www.ama-assn.org/ama1/pub/upload/mm/36/2004_flu_nowak.pdf).
5. Laheij RJF, Sturkenboom MCJM, Hassing R, Dieleman J, Stricker BHC, Jansen JBMJ. Risk of Community-Acquired Pneumonia and Use of Gastric Acid-Suppressive Drugs. *JAMA*. 2004;292(16):1955–1960. doi:10.1001/jama.292.16.1955, <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/article-abstract/199672>.
6. Jon Rappoport, *Bombshell: 18 people died of the flu, not 36,000*, September 8, 2012, <https://web.archive.org/web/20171002094610/https://jonrappoport.wordpress.com/2012/09/08/bombshell-18-people-died-of-the-flu-not-36000/>.
7. Influenza: The Disease, CDC, archived September 27, 2008, page, <https://web.archive.org/web/20080927045351/http://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/disease/>.
8. Kenneth Stoller, CDC — *Influenza Deaths: Request for Correction (RFC)*, <https://aspe.hhs.gov/cdc-influenza-deaths-request-correction-rfc> (last visited on April 6, 2023).
9. Seasonal Influenza: The Disease, CDC, archived April 7, 2010, page, <https://web.archive.org/web/20100407203929/http://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/disease/>.
10. Glen Nowak, *Planning for the 2004-05 Influenza Vaccination Season: A Communication Situation Analysis*, archived September 5, 2004 page, [https://web.archive.org/web/20040905095426/https://www.ama-assn.org/ama1/pub/upload/mm/36/2004\\_flu\\_nowak.pdf](https://web.archive.org/web/20040905095426/https://www.ama-assn.org/ama1/pub/upload/mm/36/2004_flu_nowak.pdf).
11. Glen Nowak, *supra*.
12. Glen Nowak, *supra*.
13. Seasonal Flu Vaccines, CDC, <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/prevent/flushot.htm#:~:text=Everyone%206%20months%20and%20older,the%202010%2D2011%20flu%20season>. (last visited on April 7, 2023).

14. Brett Wilcox, Jabbed, supra at 180, citing Vittorio Demicheli, et al., Vaccines for preventing influenza in healthy adults, February 1, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001269.pub6>.
15. Brett Wilcox, Jabbed, supra at 181.
16. Brett Wilcox, Jabbed, supra at 181.
17. Congressional Record, 113<sup>th</sup> Congress, Vol. 159, No. 59, at E576, April 26, 2013, <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2013-04-26/pdf/CREC-2013-04-26.pdf>.
18. Dan Burton, “Mercury in Medicine,” Congressional Record (May 20, 2003): E1011, E1014, <https://www.aapsonline.org/vaccines/mercinmed.pdf>.
19. Influenza (Flu) Vaccine and Pregnancy, CDC, <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pregnancy/hcp-toolkit/flu-vaccine-pregnancy.html> (last visited on April 7, 2023).
20. Brett Wilcox, Jabbed, supra.
21. Daniel Neides, Make 2017 the year to avoid toxins (good luck) and master your domain: Words on Wellness, Cleveland Clinic, January 6, 2017, [https://www.cleveland.com/lyndhurst-south-euclid/2017/01/make\\_2017\\_the\\_year\\_to\\_avoid\\_to.html](https://www.cleveland.com/lyndhurst-south-euclid/2017/01/make_2017_the_year_to_avoid_to.html).
22. Statement from Cleveland Clinic, Affirming our support of vaccinations, Cleveland Clinic, January 8, 2017, <https://newsroom.clevelandclinic.org/2017/01/08/statement-cleveland-clinic/>.
23. Brett Wilcox, Jabbed, supra at 248.
24. Brett Wilcox, Jabbed, How the Vaccine Industry, Medical Establishment and Government Stick It to You and Your Family, at 249 (2018).
25. Brett Wilcox, Jabbed, supra at 247-48.
26. Brett Wilcox, Jabbed supra at 183.
27. FLUARIX QUADRIVALENT (Influenza Vaccine) injectable suspension, for intramuscular use 2022-2023 Formula Initial U.S. Approval: 2012, at 16, <https://www.fda.gov/media/79278/download>.
28. FLUARIX QUADRIVALENT (Influenza Vaccine) injectable suspension, for intramuscular use 2022-2023 Formula Initial U.S. Approval: 2012, [https://gskpro.com/content/dam/global/hcpportal/en\\_US/Prescribing\\_Information/Fluarix\\_Quadrivalent/pdf/FLUARIX-QUADRIVALENT.PDF](https://gskpro.com/content/dam/global/hcpportal/en_US/Prescribing_Information/Fluarix_Quadrivalent/pdf/FLUARIX-QUADRIVALENT.PDF).